# The Sabbath Recorodr 

The seventh day is the sabbath of the lord thy god.
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| I find the following article in the New York Chronicle, Vol. 6, No. 30. The only changes I have m |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |


| I have made in it, are to make it read Sun day-keeping instead of Infant Baptism, an omit a single paragraph on infant communion and put one papist in the place of another The conscientiousness of the writer, and the rity of his molives, are sufficiently apparen to be allowed by all candid readers; but witid be glad to know how he can maintain fild be glad to know how he can maintain mirror of truth, he looks exclusively on the and refuses to look on the of Infant Baptism and refuses to look on the anti-Protestant ap |
| :---: |

SUNDAY-KEEEING vs. PROTESTANTISM.


LIFE IN AFRICA.
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## Chy Sidbuaty merurutr $=$

＂STATE OF THE DEAD．＂
Some complaint is made－we expected it tome complaint is made－we expeected that the Recorder has no
published whatever has been sent for inser tion in its columnser on the subject of of Ansi
hilation．The adrocates of this doctrine seen really．to think adrocates of this doctrine seem
importance，and that thews world of of some
immense loss，if their lucubrations are kept back from the public．The trickery of tellin us that we are afraid of open discussion，and
that by refusing to print what is sent to
we only multiply converts to the doctrine we oppose，has bee
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## handling this question．It has been anaider that we have classed the advocates of annihilation wilh infidels．But faulty as our manner may have been，we do not think we have siad any thing which can be fairly construed as charg－ thing which can be fairly construed as charg－ ing the advocates of annihilation with an in． tention，to identify themselves with infidels．

 We have always supposed they intended touphold the trutb，though we may not have
said so pleasant a thing before．Nevorthe－ said so pleasant a thing before．Nevortho－
less，we do most conscientiously believe，that
their doctrine is founded in the of the infidel，and we feel it a solemn duty
to warn them of it．We do not think that all who have embraced it will turn out infif
dels，in the end，but we very much fear some will．The professor of religion in whose
heart the love of God does not dwell，and
who is of a philosophizing who is of a pailosophizing，speculative turn，
will not stop with the idea that dealt is the
extinction of being．His next inference－ one which he cannot avoid－a necessary one
from the premise－is that man is not account－ able，hereafter，for the sins of this life．For
af death is the extinction of being - if this if death is the extinction of being－if this
premise is settled conclusively，beyond all
doubt－there can be no existence hereafier． Itis useless to talk to him about the resurrec－
tion－about any re－organization of his cor－
porioty－－about the power of God to bring him
into existence again－when you into existence again－when you have once
proved that death makes an end of him．He
knoww it cannot be，for two differnt and die tinct existences of one and the same person
is a contradgation．There can be neither
heaven nor hell for him－nothing but an
everlasting nothing．Such a professor，there－ fore，lands on infidelity；he cannot stop short Others will not go so far．＂The hope o
eternal life，＂the fondest hope of a renewed
heart，will be a check upon their daring specu lations．They will shrink from pushing t
premise with which they have started to mipious conclusion，for they fear God；they
know that there is a hereafter．But though they labor to persuade otermselves that their
recently adopted opinion is favorable to th hope inspired by the Gospel，the tendency it is really to a lulling of their anxieties．The view the recompenses of eternity as far dis－
tant．A vast extent of duration stretches
between their dying hour and the judgment during which they are to cease from all con sciousness．The things which are unseen and eterinal being regarded as things a great wa conscience．They are allureredsion therefore，to to
indulge a sinful negligence，and they remain


？
$\therefore$
解
$\because$
$\therefore$


THE SABBATH RECORDER, JULY 5, 1855.


