# The sabbath Recorder: 
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|  | W YORK, FIFTH-DAY, SEPTEMBER 2 , 185 |  |  |  |  |
| Bf the Seventh-day Baptist Pablishing Society, <br> At No. 100 Nassau Street, Nea York. TERHS $\$ 200$ per year payable in advance. Sublimbet to an additional charge of 50 cents. Thu sabdudh Recorder is devoted to the exposition and (ixilation of the riews and movements of the Seventhjieffend vigorous benevolent action, at the same ine that it urges obedience to the commandments of Gid and the fiath of Jesus. Its. columns are open to ie adivocacy of all reformatory measures which seem ivedy to improve the condition of society, dief inowledge, reclaim the inebriate, and enfranchise. the aniared. zants an its its Literary and Intelligence Departzunts and tastes of every class of readers. As a Reiifus and Family Newspaper, it is intended that the : fenexler shall rank among the best. <br> $2-$ Payments received will be acknowledged in the | the week was the Sabbath, or else that he has deceived mankind by that commandment including Moses, the Prophets, and all the Jewish commonwealth together with all Christendom, down to as late as the sixteenth century. For it is stated by Mr. Cole and Mr. Fisher, and acknowledged by other first day writers, that until the time Dr. Bounde wrote his Treatise, (A. D. 1595), there is no account that the fourth commandment, or the term Sabbath, was ever applied to any but the seventh day of the week, and that the Christian world was startled at his audacity. <br> Hence, if your own historians and theologians can be credited, your theory had its origin at least 1500 years this side of the last inspired penman. | It is only the enemy, of God and trath who ever tries to persaade men not to be particular in regard to His laws; and in this is oll his success, and ever has been. Every soul he has been the instrument of raining, from Adam down, he has ruined by this method. The Saviour says, "He that is unfaithful in little, is unfaithful also in much." <br> All through the Bible, the term, "the Sabbath," designates the seventh day, as unmistakably as the term Saturday does now; and if first day historians and theologians can be credited, that term was not applied to any other day till within less than 300 years. (See Fisher's Caveat, and Cole's History of the Sabbath, and others). It woold be no more a misapplication of names to say that Satarday is the first day of the week, than to say that the first day of the week is the Sabbath of Scripture; since all the Evangelists declare it | Pretty well said. The initiated (of whom my egotistic reviewer is one, I presume,) will donbtless fully appreciate the above effort to hold me up as an ignoramas. Bat hear him farther: "There is throughont the entire article a peculiar andefined latitude, and a slippery indefinitenoss, that renders it very uncertain where to j th the writer, or where to lay a hand upon the article, and know that you hold the views of the author, and yet there is a manifest leai:ing toward an effort to render peculiar views prominent." <br> I wish I coold say the saine of Bro. B., but I cannot. For there seems to be no want of latitude, no slippery indefiniteness conceruing the design of his review. Bro. B. certainly is not "unqualified" for the task he has undertaken. For if the Essay was so "peculiar, undefined latitude," and so " slippery, indefinite," why did he undertake to handle the slippery thing? Was | not conform to the Scriptarahmodel," but which if taken in its strictest senst would probably un-Church every Chareh on earth. Does Bro. B. feel himself qualified to set forth that model, so that he would not admit a fellow-being into it unless he conformed to every minhtio of his model. There tased to be such a thing as cearity in the Apostolic Charch. Woald Bro. B. have this external qualification $\quad$ his stracture, or would he erect the bedstefd of iron, and decapitate or stretch all to hisplature. <br> Bro. B. thinks I was "eminently succeessfal in shanning the intention of the single sabject assigned me , and in my redundancy of words somewhat forgetfal of their obvious sensel for the term ministrations as ased in the subjegt, obviously means the offices of a minister." It will be remembered that the proposition | and that impregation would ultimate in the new birth. Thas, 1 Peter i.'23: "Being born again not of the corruptible seed, but of jncorruptible, by the word of God. Hence I think the Scriptures justify sach an expréssion. <br> 4. No sir. For while in point of time birth proceeds action, yet they are so nearly identical that Bro. H's question seems to make in distinction where there is no difference. But I apprehend Bro. H. labors under a mistake as to what The Charch is. He seems to view it something man made, rather than what God made. The Essay refers to The Charch-not to any and every organization which men may get up. If I have not befogged Bro. H. still more, I am glad, and if I have unfogged him any, I rejoice. If he needs more light, and I can impart it, I will do so cheerfully. <br> 8. 8. ©. <br> The Cross of Christ. |
| rates of advertishg. <br> or a equare of 16 lines or less-one insertion, \$ 75 <br> $"$ each subsequent insertion, 50 <br> six months, |  |  |  | given me to write apon was not the minister of the gospel, but the ministrations (pitral) | The cross of Christ is an object of such incomparible brightness, that it spreads a glory |
|  |  |  |  | the fact of my being a minister did jot bind $m \mathrm{meges}$ as to sumpose the whole |  |
|  |  |  |  | blind my eyes, as to suppose the whole minisstrations of the Church were centered in oneman power. But I maintain that from the pro- |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | position itself, I should have been "gratuitousIs assuming the responsibility to enlighlen or |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | fore whether $I$ was "qualifife" or not |  |
|  |  |  |  | form the task laid apon" me, $I$ appeal from |  |
|  |  |  |  | bissed laity, whose tnclerical vision puil pre. |  |
|  |  |  |  | vent their discovering an ordained clergyman in every ministration of the gospel. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Sot far then as the Essay not itting ty sub, |  |
|  |  |  |  | and proposition are isseperably connefted.l. If |  |
|  |  |  |  | the Association wish an. Essay ypon |  |
|  |  |  |  | gymen, let it say so. But I shall Ppote |  |
|  |  |  |  | against making me responsible for its of mistakes when giving out subjects for Essaye. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | tions, without being so published, becaite forsooth, I wrote an Essay according to the let- |  |
|  |  |  |  | ter of my instractions. Perhaps it-was thought I should Swedenbargenize it." Paul says, "The |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | letter killeth;" and truly it seems to pave in |  |
|  |  |  |  | this case. Bro. B.s quotation from Scriptare infarer far |  |
|  |  |  |  | of a lerieal order fail to prove the poift. I |  |
|  |  |  |  | therefore see no reason to difier from the $\begin{aligned} & \text { Psay } \\ & \text { where it says that it mas not the intenton of }\end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Christ or his $\triangle$ jpostles to institute such tn or- |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | says that "no Church can be Seriptural that does not conform to the Scriptural midel, I |  |
|  |  |  |  | does not eofiorm to the seriptaral madel, |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 既s, grimacing the images of demons in his |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | courteons to Bro. V. Hall, who has sp cour- |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | . |
|  |  |  |  | of my Essay: "That there is throuthoot |  |
|  |  |  |  | the entire article a peculiar, undefined latitude, and a slippery indefiniteness, that readers it |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | very nucertain where to find the writer, or where to lay a hand upon the article, and know | do your eyes testify of the movings of your heart; and is your hand, tingling with the hot |
|  |  |  |  | that you hold the views of the anthor, and yet there is a manifest leaning towards an effort to | 隹 |
|  |  |  |  | render pealiar vieprs prominent," If so, Itrust my being unqualifed to perform the task | There too is the eolder, "armed and equip. |
|  | Is it not a fact that the serenth day of the week is oberred in erery nation under heaven? |  |  |  |  |
|  | Where is there a nation in which are no Jeems? | fearful a thing to do in the Lord's name, that which he has not commanded, as he is superior |  | nality in the "ethics of the question." <br> REPLTY To BRO. $\begin{gathered}\text {. } \\ \text { HULL }\end{gathered}$ | well. But if, not, does thy sonl yearn for hịm, and art thou saying to him the Great Captain calls for thee. |
|  | And whoever heard of their experiencing any difficulty in keeping the serenth day on ac- |  | Bro. B. asks how can a Charch be a Scriptural Church destitute of the specific parts of |  |  |
|  | count of the rotandity of the earth? And whoever heard a Sunday man complain that he | portant the thing is claimed to be, the more |  | 9 dinelf attl "crea" | home to challenge thy sympathy. Liook aponthy nearest neighbor, thy brother, thy child thy wife, thy' father-is he out of Christ; on: converted? Does the sight affect thy heart? Alas! no pity, no yearriings, no agony ofprayer, no thrusting forth of the hand to sonatch as brands from the barning! Then art thon not Christless, hastening to thy doom withthose who are without God in the world ? those who are without God in the world S |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | bers, and not quote all his language, and I trust he will take no exceptions. |  |
|  | will of God that it shonld be. Moreover, ac-cording toto | Treply to the two reviewers of my Essay. This | which are probably destitute of many specific parts of Christianity? The eating of meat, and the observance of days mentioned by Paul |  |  |
|  |  | dick. I I regret that Bro. B. should have de. |  | 1. No. That baptism makes them members. <br> 2. Faith and repentance are the acts of the |  |
|  | it is Sunday, it being only the next day after |  | and the observance of days mentioned by Paul in Rom. xiv., was or was not a specific part of Christianity. And yet both the eaters and non- | 2. Faith and repentance are the acts of the sinner, and so far: as the is concerned, they are | "Kirwan" as Pastor.-Many good Christians are nowilling that their pastor should engage in literary labor, apart from his puilpit |
| ditly: and therefore the Apostles may be un- |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | eaters, as well as the observers and non-observers constituted theChurch at Rome. Our broth- | mencement of a new life. The exercise of repentance and faith, brings the soul into a state, | engage in literary labor, apart from his pulpit daties, from a mistaken notion that it must in |
|  |  | "good faith of my brethren" and still fartier | ers constituted theChurch at Rome. Orr brothren in Ephrata, Penn., wash feet as a religions ordinance, which is or is not a specific part of |  | are with his home labors. Thes forget that |
| debre |  |  |  | able of receiving the regenerating influence the Holy Spirit, understanding regenera- |  |
| to.see the sepulchre. |  | strong insinuation I take the above to be of my morality and honesty, in writing the Essay, | Carisitiaity. If wasking feet be a peeciic part | tion to be the exclusive act of the Divine |  |
|  |  | one which will be considered, I trast, by the readers of the Reconder not mors in the light |  | The kingdom of heaven which is the trae | action which contributes largely to his sucin the pulpit. -Dr. Marray, of Elizabethn , 50 well known as |
|  |  |  | al Churches are not very numerons. Is Bro. B. qualified to inform us what specific parts of Christianity outside an acknowledged Christian character are necessary to constitute one a member of a Scriptural Charch? I await the | Charch being within us, and consisting in righteonsness and peace, faith and repentance, | town, so well known as an opponent who has won green laurels in tilting with Archbishop Hughes, refers to his home labors in his twen- |
|  | there was no. administrator, that thereforo, | of Bro. B.'s review, than in the light of my morality and honesty which has been before the reader for some twonts jers |  |  | hors in his twen ty-fifth anniversary sermon: <br> - "Daring all these years the pastor has been |
| coitradietory and silf.destructive. | "sprinkle," or " any application of water," is all that can be drawn from the word "baptizo," | the reader for some twenty years. <br> In his second paragraph Bro. B. says, "the |  | or for the sonl to enter it. The Church, Bro | but three Sabbaths ont of the pulpit becans of ill health. He has visited the entire con |
|  |  | Essay does not fit the sabject for which it is prepared." That I leave to the reader, who | member of a Scriptural Charch? I await the answer. | good men may set up. It is the embodiment of divine principles in one or more of the haman family. <br> 3. Whether "regeneration or the new birth, is ever called spiritual baptism" or not, I think they are sometimes, at least,synonymons in their import. Regeneration as the new birth asaal: ly is used to refer to the beginning of what is called spiritual life in the sonl. Cbitist was to baptize people with pres. Fire is the symbol of divine truth; hence to baptize with fire was equivalent to impregnate the soul with troth; |  |
|  |  |  | The apparent solecism of his ninth paragraph If culiar qualined to hande; il has sach a pedefiniteness is. Bro. B seems to lay stress on "external qualifications," as necessary to Church membership. Now who has disputed it? I agree with Bro. B. that all the so-called Churches of the present day, who have a different Lord, or faith, or baptism, or God, and Father, from that of the Scriptures, are not a Scriptural or a part of the Scriptaral Charch." That is, if sach |  | regation thirteen times, praying with every g with every individaal as to the way of fe, making about " 4500 visits, and these en irely apart from visits to the sick aid the a $b$, the senlons and bac grices have averaged more than isit meekly n aggregate of aboit 7800 . Most of these ave been in the chürch abid lecturerobom. He ad nerer been one minate behind timie tit aing We imagine very fere ppastors can give so ood an accoant of thompelves. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | you have adopted, that all the fundamental doctrines of Scripture have been rejected by Infidels and Sceptics; and there is no way in which you can strengthen their hands more than by thus ondorsing their logic and rüles of interpretation; as the acknowledgmatt of a | self to the aninitiated," "for my wide departare from the nature and intention of the sabject given me") "is that the writer is either unqualified to perform the task laid apon him, or gratuitously assumes the responsibility to enlighten or unsettle the minds of the Associa- |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
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