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## cubistianiti a part or tre comion lan.

 In the Recorder of July 2 2th what publishedthe Philidelephia Ledger' report of Juduge Coul ter's remarks on the Pennglivania case, as it it is
called, As there has not been much notice
taken of Judge C. B remarks, by those who taken of Judge C.s remarks, by tho
have handed the sobiject thue fart,
marks may be acceptable and useful. marks may be acceptable and useful.
Among other things to the same effect, Ju
C. is reported to have said thus: " Gen Chritianite tenters into the very frame of our
civil existence; it is part of the common law on civil existence; it is part of the common law
the State. General Christinatit part of
common law of the Slate! What can mean? When did all this, come to pass? true ? Does this Judge know what law is?
he will make good what he has said, all will be well. But until he does oso and that by hhow-
ing us the eroof, we shall take the liberyy to ing us the proof, we shall take the liverty to
dany that the doctrine is true which is contained in the quotation we have made. Strange
indeed it is, that our civil officers must fall into
. to suppose that it pertains to their duty or office to enforce the duces C''s doctrine be true, then
whatever. If Judge C. bound to submit to all the ordinances of Chris
ianity which are therein set forth as obligator upon men generally. Well, suppose I find i
community men who reject Christianity; and looking over the requirements of that system,
find that faith in Christ is there demanded of every individual to whom the gospel is preach
ed. Suppose then I prosecute the unbeliever I present to the court the law, the general re
quirement of faith in Christ ; I present the evi proved guilty; can he possibly escape being
condemned? No, verily, he must be condemn ed; there is no, escape. Can we accept the
doctrine of Judge $C$., when such are its unavoidable results.
It will avail nothing to say, It is only general
Christianity which is affrmed to be part of the common law. For if the first day of the wee
is the Christian Sabbath, there is no more rea son to suppose that to be embraced in general
Christianity than that the requirement to believe in Christ is. Indeed, keeping of the Christian
Sabbath (if there be such a thing) may not a properly belong to general Christianity as faith
in Christ does; for this is required of all-that like baptism, may only become duty after faith
has been exercised. However this may be there is no requirement of Christianity which is
more designed to obligate men generally tha the requirement to believe in Christ. Yet the
are other claims of Christianity which are equally as generally binding as this, and th
pains and penalties of the law might be employ do enforce them all on the same principl upon which Judge C. would enforce abstinence should it be said, that we ought to understand
Judge C. to mean that Christianity generally, or in some general sense, is part of the common
aw of the State, this will only make the matter worse for lim still. For, with this view of the qualify the Christianity, has no particular mean sense of the statement ; and Judge C. might
just as well have said, flatly and plainly, that Christianity is part of the common law of the
State. Then there would have been no disguise about it, and all would have understood
at once that the Church and State were united in earnest. So then, Christianity in a general
sense having become a part of the common law of course each particular part of that system, or each requirement of it, must be part of the
common law, and ought to be enforced as such,
for it is a rule in logic, (and which accords with common-sense, ) that what may be affirmed of a Judge Coulter's doctrine is either that the State Courts have authority to force men to
obey all those demands of Christianity which are therein shown to be made upon men gene-
rally, or otherwise that said Courts have author ity to force men to obey the Clistian system
as a whole, and consequently all its particular parts. Now, who could have believed that a Judge of any court in these United States could
ever have dared to affirm any system of religion to be part of the law of the State, under any.qualifying
terms or any disguise whatever. This is truly terms or any disguise whatever. This is truly
amazing! What strides we take! How truly Can religion the State has got to be! The Chris versally and faithfully among us by our Courts,
and they have so long enforced its and they have so long enforced its various or-
dinances by their decisions, that it is now be come part of our common law !
But no-stop; this is not so. Christianity is in no sense part of our common law. Our laws
allow, and (would if consistently administered) protect all religions, but they require none
Does not Judge C. know, that neither Christ ianity nor any other religion can be part of our
common law? Does he not know, that whil common law? Does he not know, that while
our fundamental laws, our constitutions, remain as they are, what he has affirmed is impossiblo
We suspect the very general regard that is whaid voluntarily by oury general regard that is paid voluptarily mintake such a state of things for the right

## 

 the Sunday rest the church feel and manifest $i$ organizations, which prompts our judges to dcide in favor of the constitution day statute, rather than any clear and well-de What more is necessary to prove this, than
see them unitedly affirming that the statute question is constitutional, one because the thing
required by the statute is, and another because it is not, a religious duty! Were this question
left to the care of the State, to decide simply in respect to the interest it has in the matter, i
dependently of any bearings it may have gratifying importunate religious sects, or up he popularity of individuals or parties, me
would at once no doubt enjoy their rights on
his subject; that is, they would labor or re when they pleased, and not be questioned by heir fellow citizens or their rulers about it. But they must be made to understand that the Su ests of religion and morality will perish if it people, and the worship of God will be aban-
doned, if they ness by the force of law-and that, should the courts not sustain the Sunday law, it would be
an awful dereliction from their duty-a deplor sacred foundations, and dissolve all the moral
and religious bonds, of society. Thus they give a most melancholy comment upon the devoted
ness of professed Christians, as they understand evidences by which they undertake to convince
men that the first day of the week is the Christian Sabbath, and that they are by the require
ment of God bound to keep it. No doubt, in such a case, it is highly important that the civi when they have done, how much more rea
morality and piety will there be in the land for
all the success which may attend their efforts to force men to mimic those virtues, while in their
hearts they despise both them and the tyrants who compel them to it.
And now we earnesty ask, Siall we never
understand the true limits of that author onderstand The true limits of that authori-
Ty which the State has over the Citizen ?
Why should this sea of civil authority be ceaseWhy should this sea of civil authority be cease-
lessly, and to all generations, breaking over its
well-marked shores, engulfing the peace and rights of men beneath its wild careering and
desolating waves? If what the State requires privileges of others, as citizens, be equal to his
then the authority of the State is just at its proper limits. But if that which the State re
quires is not necessary for such purpose, the the authority or requirement of the State ex
ceeds its just limits, and oppression is the result because the only proper business of the ci
government, in all its duings, is to secure
each citizen, as such, each citizey, as such, an equal share of pris
leges, and not to dictate any, unless they a
sume or aitempt in some way or other to sume or aitempt in some way or other to ap-
propriate to themselves more than they can
have and leave an equal share to others. Now the great majority of the citizens in this country
choose to keep or rest on the first day of the week, and work upon all the other days. A few
of our citizens choose to keep or rest on the
seventh day of the week, and work upon all the other days. Now, if it be necessary for the
State to require any thing of either of these parties, in order to equalize the privileges which
they as citizens derive from the State, while pursuing their respective courses, then and for necessary for that purpose, the moment the
State requires any conformity of one of these parties to the other, it prostitutes its authority
the direct and positive destruction of that equality in respect to privileges among its citiens which is its only proper business to pre calling for a support which is not of itself a
iolation of the rights of others. And for the nds of life, liberty and happiness, he has a right occupy, in the pursuit of his calling, not only
is own private premises, but also all public oads, thoroughfares, landings, and the like which have been provided by the State, and
which, in common with his fellow.citizens, the tate requires him to support. The reason of
his is plain; he has property in these, and as pportunity to others to as to leave an equa right to use such public premises on all days of ver refuses him, or year. And if the Stat a wronged and injured man by that very power which, in receiving his money or services for its
upport, has pledged itself to defend him from But it may be urged, that should this doctrine be carried out into practice, it would result in he first day. We shall not allow, however that any thing would disturb a congregation on
the first day; or any one day, which would no listurb it on any other day. Now it is common or congregations, both "in the country and And sometimes they meet to worship for week the great

## slavery not a bible instimution

words, inexpressibly desperate. For one branc
of community to be allowed to keep and try to an average amount of the noise and tumult of
business is heard in every directiou, and the
o their work when nearly the whole populatio
is resting around them, and the opportunities of
business generally are fast closed up against
them-these are too great privileges for them
to enjoy, even in the most retired and obscure abodes, in which their peculiar faith and prac tice may have destined them to live. But for
another branch of community to have the customs, arrangments, and prejudices of society in
their favor, and almost universal silence to pre-
vail on the day when they choose to rest and
worship; and when they go to work to have al the doors of business thrown open to suit thei
inclinations of enterprise or ambition-this, all this, is too little for them to enjoy, if there a
any who are too conscientious to do them rever
ence, or bold enough to dispute the soundness of the dogmas they advance. If there be
man who thinks he ought to go aboout his ordi nary business on the first day, he must be forc
ed to stop till these his republican-Christion ed to stop till these his republican-Christian-
fellow-citizens tell him that he may proceed. The court decided in this case, that a citize could not claim the right to work on the first
day, on the account of the fourth command ment requiring any thing of that kind. Bu
whatever that part of the subject may invole one thing is certain, in spite of all argument $t$ t
the
tion

in being passive, and in the other by being
and as much in the oncience instavice as in as the otherly And for this reason our rulets will never arriv course of government, on this point, and in re
spect to these men, until they force all who at tempt to keep the seventh day to go to work on
that day as other people do. We may therefor either abandon its present position, and give a their rights, or proceed to the consummation of
the work in which it has engaged, and abso lutely prohibit the keeping of the seventh day

> sunday in congress.
A part of the Sunday before the adjournment of Congress was used by the Senate in a way
which we presume most of the sticklers for a strict observance of that day would disapprove. It seems that the question before the Senat the Oregon Bill as it passed the House, with the promise, or to insist upon its amendment adding the Missouri Compromise, and so defeat the
Bill. The discussion was continued throughout the night following the Sabbath, and up to uear ten o'clock on Sunday morning, when the vote
was taken, and the Bill, as it came from the House, adopted. Here then we have the Senate
of the United States in session during at least ten hours of what is by the mass of professing
Christians regarded as sacred time. If Judge Christians regarded as sacred time. If Judge
Coulter's notion be true, that Christianity is so much a part of the common taw of this country
that the magistrate ought to punish those who
desecrate the "Christian Sabbath," here is busidesecrate the "Christian Sabbath," here is buss-
ness for some body to attend to. We have no
fellowship for the system of petty persecution
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
each, with costs, and imprisoned for a few days
it is designed to guard, and the danger of those
sacredness of the institution which in this case
inout men for such crimes, we should much prefer
taking up a case of this kind to sneaking about
gainst the man who should happen to be fuund
hoeing corn or drawing ralls. We commend
this busiuess to some of the magistrates or in-
formers who are accustomed to exercise them-

## formers who are accustomed to exercise them- selves in such matters. Perlhaps, however, it

may be supposed that this was a case of neces
sity or mercy, and that the parties ought to be
excused on this ground. But if that plea were
allowed in this case, we think it might be urged
allowed in this case, we think it might be urged
in almost any case. The only score on which
there seems any real justification, is that they
were doing good, which is generally allowed to
be lawful on the Sabbath. But even this would
not suffice for all; one party was trying to do
directly the opposite to the other, and the
directly the opposite to the other, and the
plea of doing good cannot certainly apply to
both. We should be glad to see this subject
our southern brethren will resort to for the jus. tification of the traffic in slayes. A correspon-
dent of the New York Tribune, who hails from
Virginia, in a communication which appeared
in that paper a few days since, rather arrogant.
y ask s permission to refer the editor to 1 Peter
masters with all fear, not only to the good and
lavery, when it was not condemned by God
slavery, when it was not condemned by God
verlooked Fxodus 21: 16 , the writer had
overlooked Fxodus $21: 16$, which says, "He
that stealeth a man and selleth him, or if he be
death." I have no doubt that servants have
been common from the earliest ages, but every
whom ye yield yourselves, servants to obey." Hence the beauty and propriety of the exhort-
ations, Servants obey your masters ; Cbildren ations, Servants obey your masters ; Ckildre
obey your parents ; Wives submit yourselves to your husbands, \&c. It is certainly a commenda
ble virtue for servants of every grade to be obe dient to their rulers, or to those placed in au thority over them, while they so remain.
Some refer to Abraham for an exampletojustify their traffic in human flesh. We admit that Abraham had servants (not slaves) to the num
them, for " he was very rich in cattle and in gold, and his substance was very great." But from Africa or any other nation by stealth 0
that they had three classes of servants. One lass" was composed of those who were poor, and
sold themselves, or were sold, to pay their debts, old themselves, or were sold, to pay their debts,
ir delivered up by their parents in cases of ne
essity; but this kind of servitude culd delivered up by their parents in cases of ne
essity/; but this kind of servitude could not be xtended beyond the term of six years, when
hey might return to liberty again, and their aasters could [not retain them against their
wills. Another class were those who had for feited their lives by being prisoners of war
and those who held such, kept them, exchanged hem, sold them, or disposed of them as their own goods; but they could not be retained beond the next jubilee. Servant is also taken rrice of another by the choice of his own will nd inclination. Thus Joshua was the servant of Moses, Elisha of Elijah, and the apostles were
servants of Jesus Christ. But I do nut find ervants of Jesus Christ, But I do nut find
he word slave in the whole code of Hebrew ws. The only distinctions in theiridomestics re servants and bond-servants; the one goes
ee in six years, and the other at the next year fabilee.. Perhaps another may be added dom if ever happened; I mean those who sign their own bond of perpetual servitude by going
oo the door-post and having their earis bored through with an awl by their master in the preUpon the whole, I a well satisfied, that the present system of slavery, as maintained in the
outhern States, never received ${ }^{3}$ its origin in the outhern States, never received ${ }^{3}$ itsorigin in the
days of Abraham, nor from his posterity, nor ays of Abraham, nor from his posterity, nor
rom any Christian nation up to the present day I think more likely it originated with some the ancient heathen nations, who knew no
God, nor regarded his laws, oranticipated a day of final retribution
Immbisipn of an Engelish Rector.-Accord ing to the London Patriot, the cathedral town of
Winchester, Eng., has been thrown into consid rable excitement by the public immersion of the
The particulars are as follows:-The Rev.J Branch, minister of the Baptist Chapel, Water:
loo road, London, attended and took part, some ime ago, at a public meeting in Winchester where Mr. Proby was present. After the meet-
ing, a conversation took place, when Mr. Branch gave his views on the subject of baptism; he
found Mr. Proby had been doubting for a long period upon the subject. On a subsequent Sun day, Mr. Branch again visited Winchester, to
preach there in behalf of the Sunday-school, hen he rereived a request from the rector of cordingly, in a running brook, in the presenc of between one and two hundred persons, after the rector had made a solemni and public pro-
ession of his faith. In the afternoon, the rector partook of the Lord's Supper at the Baptist Chapel, and in the evening preached a sermon
at St. Peter's church, although forbidden by the churchwardens, stating what he had done, and giving his views on the subject of baptism.
understand that Mrt Proby immediately in $d$ his diocesan of thole matter; the result coursé, is his immediate suspension.
No Tobacco por Ministers.-There is a paper published at Covington, Ky.-" the Golden
Rule"-which goes dead against the use of to bacco, treating it as a sin of the same dye wit
the use of intoxicating drinks. In a recent num ber we find a resolution passed by a Ministerial hich wo hemend to the particular attentio of the class of persons whom it names. Per-
haps it may be interesting also to others who use the weed, fort it is difficult to see how tha
which is "incompatible with ministerial chara which is "incompatible with ministerial charac
ter" chn be compatible with Christian charac
"Resolved, That the use of tobacco, in the
ape of the quid, pipe, cigar, or sñuff, except shape of the quid, pipe, cigar, or sñuff, except as a medicine,
rial character.'

Quakeress Missionary.-The Boston Fos ays that Susan Howland sailed on Wednesday morning in the Europa for Liverpool. She was wealthy, retired merchant, of New Bedfor This benevolent friend, moved with sympath for Europeans on the continent, in their dark-
ness, now struggling for that liberty which the gospel alone can bestow, has relinquished th comforts of home and a large circle of devoted
relatives and friends, to carry the light of the divine word to Fraice and other adjacent coun tries, as Providence may open the way. Thei
children, and numerous friends of the society children, and numem the the Europa, in the bay,
acompanied them the
where they silently commended this devoted missionary to the protection of the Almight
and received the perting bend

## Public Lands free to actual Setrilers.-In

Puric Lands fase to actual sedtrek of the Free Soil Convenwere in favor of granting public land to actual settlers without charge. Here is the resolution passed by the Conyention on that subject :-
"Resolved. That the free grant to actual se "Resolved, That the free grant to actual set
tuers, in consideration of the expenses they in
cur in making setlements in the wildernes,
which are usually fally equal to their actua
$\qquad$ from, of reasonable portions of the public land
under suitable limitations, is a wise and ju measure of public policy, which will promot
in various waye, the interests of all the States

## HE SABBATII RECORDER




